

# 广东省纺织协会

## 广东省纺织团体标准技术委员会

粤纺协标[2021]9号

### 关于征集《牛仔服装洗水工艺指南》两个标准起草单位的通知

会员、各有关单位：

根据广东省纺织团体标准技术委员会的工作布置，广东省纺织团体标准《牛仔服装洗水工艺指南 第1部分 酵素洗》、《牛仔服装洗水工艺指南 第2部分 石磨洗》的编制工作已经启动，为使标准更具广泛代表性，现广泛征集标准起草单位、参与单位，有意参与团体标准编制的单位请填写附件的“参与广东省纺织团体标准（GDTEX）项目制修订申请表”发送至本文件联系人邮箱，秘书处将根据填报情况进行协调和择优确定。具体要求如下

#### （一）起草单位要求

具有独立法人资格的纺织、印染、服装行业及相关领域的企事业单位、科研院所等机构；与牛仔布、牛仔服装相关的化工、辅料、制作、生产、供应、采购、设计、研究的单位和企业；在国内同行业中有一定的影响力和知名度，标准化管理正规，能积

极配合并参与标准制修订工作；广东省纺织协会会员单位和广东省纺织团体标准（GDTEX）技术委员会委员单位可优先参与。

## （二）责任和义务

参与标准编制的单位需积极承担和合作完成标准编制组安排的各项工作任务，向秘书处交纳一定的管理费用，用以支持项目的相关实验、检测、验证、审稿、发布、出版、宣贯等费用。

附件：参与广东省纺织团体标准（GDTEX）项目制修订申请表



联系人：刘干民，电话：13725267818，联系邮箱：[3221415975@qq.com](mailto:3221415975@qq.com)

附件

## 参与广东省纺织团体标准（GDTEX）项目制修订申请表

填表日期： 年 月 日

参与标准 编制单位名称	(盖章)		单位地址	
			网址	
参与现有团体标准项目名称	<input type="checkbox"/> 《牛仔服装洗水工艺指南 第1部分 酵素洗》 <input type="checkbox"/> 《牛仔服装洗水工艺指南 第2部分 石磨洗》			
企业联系人	姓名	职务	电话	邮箱
拟派专家 (每单位1名)	姓名	职称	电话	邮箱
标准编制			计划费用(万元)	
	牵头编制单位			选择 <input type="checkbox"/>
	主要编制单位			选择 <input type="checkbox"/>
	参与编制单位			选择 <input type="checkbox"/>
支持款项请付到广东省纺织协会帐号：开户名：广东省纺织协会，开户银行：中国工商银行广州环市中路支行，账号：3602073909000065940				
企业简介				

协会核实并盖章：

Table 1. Summary of the study design and participant characteristics.

Group	Number of Participants	Age (Mean ± SD)	Gender (Male/Female)
Control	15	23.5 ± 2.1	10/5
Experimental	15	23.8 ± 2.3	10/5

Control group: 15 participants, 10 males and 5 females, mean age 23.5 years (SD 2.1). Experimental group: 15 participants, 10 males and 5 females, mean age 23.8 years (SD 2.3).

The study was approved by the local ethics committee and all participants gave their informed consent before starting the study.

The participants were randomly assigned to either the control or the experimental group. The control group performed the control task, while the experimental group performed the control task followed by the experimental task.

2.2. Procedure

The control task consisted of a series of 10 trials. In each trial, the participant was presented with a target and had to move the hand to the target as quickly and accurately as possible. The experimental task consisted of a series of 10 trials. In each trial, the participant was presented with a target and had to move the hand to the target as quickly and accurately as possible, while also maintaining a specific posture.

2.3. Data analysis

The data were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with group (control, experimental) and task (control, experimental) as factors. The dependent variables were reaction time (RT) and accuracy. The results showed that the experimental group had significantly longer RTs and lower accuracy compared to the control group. The interaction between group and task was also significant, indicating that the experimental task had a greater impact on the experimental group than on the control group.

2.4. Results

The results of the control task are shown in Table 2. The control group had a mean RT of 230 ms (SD 20 ms) and an accuracy of 95% (SD 2%). The results of the experimental task are shown in Table 3. The experimental group had a mean RT of 250 ms (SD 25 ms) and an accuracy of 90% (SD 3%).

2.5. Discussion

The results of this study show that the experimental task had a significant impact on the experimental group, leading to longer RTs and lower accuracy compared to the control group. This suggests that the experimental task was more challenging than the control task.